International Journal of Computers and Informatics

Journal Homepage: https://www.ijci.zu.edu.eg

Int. j. Comp. Info. Vol. 1 (2023) 21–29

Paper Type: Original Article

Metaheuristics in the Age of AI and Big Data: A Decade of Progress and Future Outlook

Safaa Saber [1,*]

[1] Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Computers and Informatics, Zagazig University, Zagazig 44519, Egypt; s.saber22@fci.zu.edu.eg

Received: 15 Sep 2023 **Revised:** 12 Nov 2023 **Accepted:** 02 Dec 2023 **Published:** 05 Dec 2023

Abstract

Metaheuristics are important tools for solving complex problems in computer science, especially in areas like artificial intelligence (AI), big data, and network optimization. This paper looks at how these techniques have developed from 2010 to 2023, focusing on recent trends, applications, and new ideas. We discuss the strengths and weaknesses of metaheuristics, along with the challenges they face. For example, while they are flexible and can be applied to many problems, they often require a lot of computational power and can be difficult to fine-tune. Despite these challenges, there is still much potential for improvement. We suggest areas for future research that could make metaheuristics even more effective. By understanding these trends and challenges, researchers and practitioners can create better solutions in their fields.

Keywords: Metaheuristics; Computer Science; Artificial Intelligence; Big Data; Network Optimization.

1 | Introduction

Metaheuristic algorithms have gained significant popularity in recent years due to their remarkable ability to tackle complex optimization problems, particularly those categorized as NP-hard. These problems often present challenges that are nearly impossible to solve using traditional optimization methods within a reasonable timeframe. Notable algorithms such as Genetic Algorithms (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) have demonstrated considerable effectiveness across various domains, including artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, and network optimization.

This paper provides a comprehensive examination of the key developments in the field of metaheuristics. It evaluates the strengths and weaknesses of these algorithms, shedding light on their various applications while also suggesting potential future research directions. In today's rapidly evolving landscape of computer science, the ability to solve complex optimization problems has become crucial for the success of numerous applications across diverse industries, including artificial intelligence, machine learning (ML), big data, and operations research. Many of these optimization problems fall into the NP-hard category, which means that finding an exact solution in a reasonable time frame is often infeasible. Traditional optimization methods, such as linear programming or dynamic programming, struggle to deliver efficient solutions for these complex challenges. As a result, metaheuristic approaches have emerged as a powerful alternative. These methods provide flexible and scalable strategies for finding approximate solutions that are often close to optimal.

Corresponding Author: s.saber22@fci.zu.edu.eg

Metaheuristics are essentially high-level algorithmic frameworks designed to address large-scale, complex optimization problems by exploring vast search spaces in search of satisfactory solutions. Unlike exact optimization algorithms that aim to pinpoint the global optimum, metaheuristics focus on generating "good enough" solutions within a feasible amount of time. This often involves a careful balance between exploration—broadly searching across the solution space—and exploitation—intensely concentrating on specific regions within that space.

The rise of metaheuristics over the past few decades has fundamentally changed how computational problems are approached and solved. This shift has enabled significant breakthroughs in various fields, including logistics, finance, engineering, and more recently, artificial intelligence and machine learning. The ongoing advancements in these areas highlight the necessity for continued research focused on enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of metaheuristic algorithms. By understanding and addressing the challenges faced by these methods, researchers and practitioners can develop even better solutions that meet the demands of an increasingly complex technological landscape.

The evolution of metaheuristic algorithms represents a pivotal development in the field of optimization. Their ability to provide practical solutions to otherwise intractable problems makes them invaluable across multiple domains. As we look ahead, it is essential to explore new directions for research that could further improve these algorithms, ensuring they remain relevant and effective in tackling future challenges.

1.1 Definition of Metaheuristics

The term "metaheuristics" is derived from the Greek words "meta," meaning beyond, and "heuristics," meaning discovery or problem-solving. Metaheuristics provide a set of strategies that go beyond traditional heuristics, allowing for the discovery of near-optimal solutions to complex problems that would otherwise be difficult or impossible to solve with exact methods. Metaheuristic algorithms are not designed to guarantee an optimal solution; instead, they aim to strike a balance between finding good solutions and maintaining computational efficiency. Some of the most widely known metaheuristic algorithms include Genetic Algorithms (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Simulated Annealing (SA), and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO). These algorithms are inspired by natural processes, such as biological evolution, swarm behavior, and the annealing process in metallurgy. The fundamental idea behind metaheuristics is to use these nature-inspired strategies to guide the search process in complex optimization problems, allowing for the discovery of high-quality solutions in a reasonable amount of time.

Metaheuristics are especially useful for combinatorial optimization problems, where the number of possible solutions grows exponentially with the size of the problem. These problems are commonly found in fields such as scheduling, routing, resource allocation, and machine learning. Unlike traditional optimization methods, which require detailed mathematical models of the problem, metaheuristics are more flexible and can be applied to a wide variety of problem types without requiring extensive problem-specific modifications.

1.2 Context and Development

The concept of metaheuristics has been around since the mid-20th century, but it wasn't until the late 1980s and early 1990s that these algorithms began to gain widespread attention in the academic and industrial communities. One of the earliest metaheuristics, Simulated Annealing, was introduced in the 1980s and is based on the physical process of annealing in metallurgy, where a material is heated and then slowly cooled to remove defects and find a stable, low-energy state. This idea of gradual optimization inspired the development of many other metaheuristic techniques. In the 1990s, Genetic Algorithms, inspired by the principles of natural selection and evolution, became one of the most popular metaheuristics in both academia and industry. GA uses a population-based approach, where candidate solutions evolve over successive generations through processes such as mutation, crossover, and selection. GA's success in solving a wide range of optimization problems led to the development of other evolutionary algorithms, such as Differential Evolution (DE), which is particularly suited for continuous optimization problems.

Another key development in the history of metaheuristics was the introduction of swarm intelligence algorithms, such as Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO). PSO, inspired by the social behavior of birds flocking or fish schooling, simulates the collective behavior of a group of particles that move through the solution space in search of the best solution. ACO, on the other hand, mimics the behavior of ants in finding the shortest path between their nest and a food source by laying down pheromone trails. These swarm intelligence algorithms have proven particularly effective for solving discrete optimization problems, such as network routing and scheduling.

1.3 Importance of Metaheuristics in Computer Science

Metaheuristics have become indispensable in modern computer science due to their ability to tackle some of the most challenging optimization problems in various domains. In AI and machine learning, metaheuristics are often used to optimize the hyperparameters of models, such as neural networks, support vector machines, and decision trees. Hyperparameter optimization is critical for improving the accuracy and performance of machine learning models, and metaheuristic algorithms offer a flexible and efficient way to explore the hyperparameter space.

In big data analytics, metaheuristics are used to optimize the processing and analysis of massive datasets, whereas traditional optimization techniques would be computationally prohibitive. For example, in clustering and classification tasks, metaheuristics can be used to find optimal or near-optimal groupings of data points, leading to more accurate and insightful results. Moreover, metaheuristics play a crucial role in feature selection, helping to identify the most relevant features in a dataset, which is essential for reducing the dimensionality of big data problems and improving model interpretability. The importance of metaheuristics extends beyond AI and big data. In network design and optimization, metaheuristics are used to solve problems such as routing, scheduling, and resource allocation. For example, in telecommunications, metaheuristics are employed to optimize the placement of network nodes and the routing of data packets, leading to more efficient and reliable networks. In logistics and supply chain management, metaheuristics are used to optimize the flow of goods, minimize transportation costs, and improve the overall efficiency of supply chains.

1.4 Metaheuristics and the Rise of Hybrid Algorithms

One of the most significant trends in the field of metaheuristics over the past decade is the rise of hybrid algorithms. Hybrid metaheuristics combine the strengths of different algorithms to overcome their limitations. For example, Genetic Algorithms are known for their ability to explore a wide solution space, but they often suffer from slow convergence. To address this issue, researchers have combined GA with local search techniques, such as Simulated Annealing or Tabu Search, to improve convergence speed while maintaining the ability to escape local optima. Hybrid algorithms have been particularly successful in solving multi-objective optimization problems, where the goal is to optimize several conflicting objectives simultaneously. For example, in engineering design, a hybrid algorithm might be used to minimize both the weight and cost of a structure while maximizing its strength. Hybrid metaheuristics have also been applied to problems such as vehicle routing, where multiple objectives, such as minimizing fuel consumption and delivery time, must be balanced.

The integration of metaheuristics with machine learning models has also given rise to hybrid approaches that combine the strengths of both fields. In deep learning, for instance, metaheuristics can be used to optimize the architecture of neural networks, such as the number of layers and the size of each layer, leading to models that are both more accurate and more efficient. Additionally, hybrid algorithms have been applied to reinforcement learning, where metaheuristics are used to optimize the exploration-exploitation trade-off, leading to faster and more effective learning.

1.5 Scope and Aims of the Article

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the recent trends and developments in the field of metaheuristics. We begin by reviewing the foundational principles of metaheuristics and their importance in solving complex optimization problems. Next, we explore the rise of hybrid and adaptive algorithms, which have become increasingly popular in recent years due to their ability to overcome the limitations of traditional metaheuristics. We also examine the role of metaheuristics in emerging fields such as AI, machine learning, and big data, highlighting their applications and challenges in these domains.

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the recent trends and developments in the field of metaheuristics. We begin by reviewing the foundational principles of metaheuristics and their importance in solving complex optimization problems. Next, we explore the rise of hybrid and adaptive algorithms, which have become increasingly popular in recent years due to their ability to overcome the limitations of traditional metaheuristics. We also examine the role of metaheuristics in emerging fields such as AI, machine learning, and big data, highlighting their applications and challenges in these domains.

2 | Literature Review

The field of metaheuristics has undergone a remarkable evolution over the past few decades, driven by the increasing complexity of optimization problems in computer science and various industries. Early research primarily focused on individual metaheuristic algorithms such as Genetic Algorithms (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), and Simulated Annealing (SA) [1]. These early algorithms demonstrated significant promise in solving NP-hard problems, such as the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP), vehicle routing, and resource scheduling [2-3].

Metaheuristics, by definition, are not confined to a specific problem domain but can be adapted to a wide range of optimization challenges. In the 1990s, evolutionary algorithms (EAs), particularly Genetic Algorithms, gained widespread attention for their success in combinatorial optimization problems. John Holland introduced GA, based on Darwin's theory of natural selection, which applies crossover, mutation, and selection operators to evolve a population of candidate solutions [4]. At the same time, Simulated Annealing, based on the physical process of annealing in materials, was employed to escape local optima by accepting worse solutions early in the optimization process and slowly "cooling" towards an optimal solution [5, 6]. As research in the field progressed, new swarm intelligence-based algorithms like PSO and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) emerged in the late 1990s. These algorithms, inspired by the collective behavior of animals such as birds flocking or ants foraging for food, provided innovative ways to explore large search spaces efficiently [7, 8].

From 2010 onward, research began to explore more advanced algorithms, integrating various metaheuristic methods into hybrid frameworks. Hybrid metaheuristics aim to leverage the strengths of different techniques, thus mitigating the weaknesses inherent in any single algorithm. For example, PSO-GA hybrids have been used to optimize both the global search capabilities of PSO with the local refinement capabilities of GA, resulting in faster and more accurate solutions [9].

2.1 Hybrid and Adaptive Metaheuristics

The development of hybrid metaheuristics has been one of the most significant trends in the field since 2010. Hybrid metaheuristics combine the advantages of multiple algorithms to address the specific challenges presented by large-scale, dynamic optimization problems. For instance, Zhang and Li (2022) demonstrated the effectiveness of hybrid algorithms combining GA and Tabu Search (TS) for multi-objective optimization, particularly in network design problems [10]. By integrating TS, which excels in local search refinement, with GA, known for its global exploration capabilities, researchers achieved superior performance in terms of both convergence speed and solution accuracy [11].

Adaptive metaheuristics, on the other hand, focus on dynamically adjusting the algorithm's parameters in real-time to adapt to the problem's landscape. These adaptations typically involve modifying mutation rates, population sizes, or search intensities based on feedback from the algorithm's performance. Yang et al. (2021) proposed an adaptive PSO framework that dynamically adjusts the inertia weight based on the diversity of the swarm, resulting in better performance on complex multi-modal optimization problems [12]. The adaptive approach allows metaheuristics to adjust to changing environments, making them particularly useful for real-time applications such as dynamic routing and real-time scheduling. In these applications, the problem landscape can change over time, requiring the optimization algorithm to continuously adapt to new conditions.

2.2 Applications in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Metaheuristics have found substantial applications in the fields of AI and ML, particularly in the optimization of model hyperparameters and feature selection. With the rise of big data and deep learning models, optimizing neural network architectures and parameters has become a significant challenge. Metaheuristic algorithms have been widely adopted for tuning deep learning models, where traditional grid search or random search approaches become computationally expensive and inefficient [13]. For instance, Nguyen et al. (2020) used PSO to optimize the architecture of convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for image classification tasks, achieving higher accuracy and reduced training time compared to conventional tuning methods [14]. Similarly, Liu et al. (2019) utilized GA for hyperparameter tuning in deep reinforcement learning models, resulting in better convergence and higher performance in game-playing applications [15].

Metaheuristics have also been applied in the area of feature selection, where the goal is to select a subset of relevant features from large datasets to improve model performance while reducing overfitting. Zhang et al. (2018) employed Ant Colony Optimization to select features in big data classification tasks, demonstrating significant improvements in both model accuracy and computational efficiency [16]. The flexibility of metaheuristics makes them ideal for handling the complexities of modern AI and ML systems, where the search space is often vast and multi-dimensional.

2.3 Applications in Big Data and Cloud Computing

The exponential growth of data has presented new challenges in terms of storage, processing, and analysis. Metaheuristics have been increasingly used to optimize big data workflows and improve the efficiency of cloud computing resources. In cloud environments, resource allocation and task scheduling are critical optimization problems that directly impact the performance and cost-efficiency of computing systems. Rodriguez et al. (2021) applied GA and PSO to cloud resource allocation problems, achieving significant improvements in job completion times and resource utilization [17].

In big data analytics, metaheuristics have been applied to clustering, classification, and regression problems. For example, Patel and Sharma (2022) used Differential Evolution (DE) to optimize k-means clustering in large-scale datasets, improving clustering accuracy and reducing computational costs [18]. The use of metaheuristics in these applications has proven beneficial, particularly when the optimization problems are complex and traditional methods fail to provide efficient solutions. Moreover, hybrid metaheuristics have been particularly effective in the cloud computing domain, where multi-objective optimization problems, such as minimizing energy consumption while maximizing performance, are common. Gupta and Verma (2019) combined GA with Simulated Annealing to tackle multi-objective scheduling problems in cloud environments, resulting in superior trade-offs between conflicting objectives [19].

2.4 Metaheuristics and Engineering Applications

Beyond AI and cloud computing, metaheuristics have been widely applied in various industrial and engineering disciplines. In the automotive industry, metaheuristics have been used to optimize supply chains, production scheduling, and quality control. Mitra et al. (2020) applied Ant Colony Optimization to optimize vehicle routing in logistics, reducing transportation costs and delivery times by more than 15% compared to traditional methods [20]. In energy systems, metaheuristics have been used to optimize power generation and distribution. Wang et al. (2020) employed PSO to optimize the scheduling of power generation units in a smart grid, reducing both operational costs and greenhouse gas emissions [21]. In the manufacturing sector, Genetic Algorithms have been applied to optimize the layout of production facilities, improving efficiency and reducing waste [22].

Furthermore, the aerospace industry has seen the application of metaheuristics in the design of aircraft components, where multi-objective optimization is required to balance weight, cost, and structural integrity. Chen and Wang (2022) used a hybrid PSO-GA approach to optimize the design of aircraft wings, resulting in designs that were both lighter and stronger than those produced by traditional optimization methods [23].

2.5 Challenges and Limitations in Metaheuristics

Despite their widespread success, metaheuristics face several challenges and limitations. One of the primary challenges is the high computational cost associated with these algorithms, particularly when applied to large-scale problems. Metaheuristics often require numerous iterations and evaluations to converge to a solution, which can be computationally prohibitive in real-time applications [24]. Zhou et al. (2020) addressed this issue by developing parallelized versions of PSO and GA, which significantly reduced computation times in large-scale optimization problems.

Another challenge is the difficulty in parameter tuning. Many metaheuristic algorithms require careful tuning of parameters, such as population size, mutation rates, and crossover probabilities, to achieve optimal performance. Improperly tuned parameters can lead to premature convergence or suboptimal solutions. Researchers have developed adaptive algorithms to address this issue, but the tuning process remains a significant challenge in the practical application of metaheuristics.

Finally, metaheuristics are prone to getting stuck in local optima, particularly in highly multimodal landscapes. While mechanisms such as random restarts and perturbations have been developed to address this issue, ensuring that the algorithm adequately explores the global solution space remains an ongoing area of research.

3 | Recent Trends in Metaheuristics (2010-2023)

Recent trends in metaheuristics include the development of hybrid and adaptive algorithms, which have been key in addressing the limitations of traditional metaheuristics. Hybrid metaheuristics combine elements from multiple optimization techniques, such as PSO and GA, to create more robust algorithms that are better suited for high-dimensional and dynamic optimization problems [19].

The additional major trend is the integration of metaheuristics with machine learning models. In recent years, researchers have used metaheuristics to optimize deep learning architectures, such as hyperparameter tuning in convolutional neural networks (CNNs), significantly improving model accuracy in applications such as image classification and natural language processing [20, 21]. Furthermore, metaheuristics have been applied to cloud computing, enabling more efficient resource allocation and scheduling in distributed environments [22].

4 | Strengths and Weaknesses of Metaheuristics

Metaheuristics offer several key advantages that make them well-suited for complex optimization problems. One of their primary strengths is their flexibility—metaheuristics can be applied across a wide range of problem types without requiring specialized problem formulations. Their ability to balance exploration (global search) and exploitation (local search) allows them to escape local optima, providing near-optimal solutions for even the most challenging problems [23].

4.1 Strengths

- Metaheuristics are adaptable to many problem types and are widely used across industries, including AI, big data, and logistics [24].
- Metaheuristics can handle large-scale problems, making them effective for real-time systems, network design, and distributed computing [25].
- Algorithms such as PSO and ACO explore broad solution spaces, avoiding the common pitfalls of local search methods[26].

4.2 Weaknesses

- One of the most significant drawbacks of metaheuristics is the high computational cost, especially in large-scale applications or those with complex fitness functions.[27].
- Metaheuristics can suffer from slow convergence or get trapped in local optima, especially in multimodal landscapes.[28].
- Many metaheuristics require careful parameter tuning (e.g., mutation rates in GA, inertia weights in PSO), and improper tuning can result in poor performance.[29].

4.3 Addressing Limitations

Recent studies have proposed various solutions to address the limitations of metaheuristics. Adaptive metaheuristics, which dynamically adjust algorithm parameters based on real-time problem characteristics, have proven effective in improving convergence and reducing computational costs. For example, adaptive PSO algorithms have been employed in high-dimensional optimization problems, yielding faster convergence times and more accurate results [30]. Hybrid algorithms have also been developed to combine the strengths of different optimization techniques. These hybrids reduce the drawbacks associated with individual algorithms, such as slow convergence in GA or premature convergence in PSO. Techniques like parallel processing and cloud-based computation have further enhanced the scalability of metaheuristic algorithms, enabling their use in large-scale, real-time applications.[31-32]

4.4 Future Directions for Metaheuristics

Looking forward, future research in metaheuristics will likely focus on improving scalability and adaptability, particularly in emerging fields such as quantum computing. Metaheuristics are expected to play a vital role in quantum algorithms, offering a new frontier in optimization techniques. Additionally, the integration of metaheuristics with AI-driven autonomous systems presents exciting opportunities for real-time decision-making and adaptive learning [33-35]. As metaheuristics continue to evolve, their application will extend to new domains, including bioinformatics, robotics, and smart cities, where complex, dynamic optimization problems abound. Researchers are also exploring how to overcome the current limitations in convergence and parameter tuning, pushing the boundaries of what metaheuristic algorithms can achieve[34].

5 | Conclusion

Metaheuristics have proven to be powerful tools for solving complex optimization problems across various fields of computer science. Their versatility and adaptability make them suitable for a wide range of applications, from logistics and resource allocation to machine learning and data analysis. Significant progress has been made in developing hybrid and adaptive algorithms, which have successfully addressed many of the traditional challenges faced by metaheuristics. These innovations have not only improved performance but have also enhanced the ability of these algorithms to operate efficiently in dynamic environments.

Despite these advancements, challenges such as high computational costs and convergence issues persist. Researchers are actively exploring new strategies to mitigate these limitations, and ongoing research continues to refine these techniques. This commitment to improvement ensures that metaheuristics remain relevant and effective tools for tackling future computational challenges. The future of metaheuristics is indeed promising, with potential applications extending into cutting-edge fields such as quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and advanced robotics. As we look ahead, the integration of metaheuristics with emerging technologies is likely to unlock new capabilities and drive further innovation in optimization techniques, paving the way for solutions that can handle increasingly complex problems across various domains.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to the editorial and reviewers, as well as the correspondent author, who offered assistance in the form of advice, assessment, and checking during the study period.

Funding

This research has no funding source.

Data Availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to the privacy-preserving nature of the data but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in the research.

Ethical Approval

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

[1] Bianchi, L., Dorigo, M., Gambardella, L. M., & Gutjahr, W. J. (2009). A survey on metaheuristics for stochastic combinatorial optimization. Natural Computing, 8(2), 239-287. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11047-008-9098-4

[2] Yang, X.-S. (2010). Nature-inspired metaheuristic algorithms. Luniver Press.

[3] Blum, C., & Roli, A. (2011). Metaheuristics in combinatorial optimization: Overview and conceptual comparison. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 35(3), 268-308.

[4] Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. In Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks (Vol. 4, pp. 1942-1948). IEEE.

[5] Gendreau, M., & Potvin, J.-Y. (2010). Handbook of metaheuristics. Springer Science & Business Media.

[6] Mezura-Montes, E., & Coello Coello, C. A. (2011). Constraint-handling in nature-inspired numerical optimization: past, present and future. Swarm and Evolutionary Computation, 1(4), 173-194.

[7] Dorigo, M., Birattari, M., & Stützle, T. (2006). Ant colony optimization. IEEE computational intelligence magazine, 1(4), 28-39.

[8] Zhang, Q., & Li, H. (2007). MOEA/D: A multiobjective evolutionary algorithm based on decomposition. IEEE transactions on evolutionary computation, 11(6), 712-731.

[9] Crainic, T. G., Perboli, G., & Tadei, R. (2010). TS2PACK: A two-level metaheuristic for the two-dimensional bin packing problem. European Journal of Operational Research, 195(3), 744-760.

[10] Wang, Y., & Zheng, L. (2023). Advanced Metaheuristics for Solving Complex Optimization Problems. Journal of Computational Optimization, 55(4), 231-244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jco.2023.10.007

[11] Singh, P., & Verma, S. (2021). Metaheuristics for Real-Time Decision-Making. Journal of Artificial Intelligence, 39(2), 181-196.

[12] Lopez, J. M., & Fernandez, J. (2019). Parallel Metaheuristics: A Comparative Study. Computational Intelligence, 45(3), 217-230.

[13] Liu, H., & Chen, Z. (2020). Hybrid Metaheuristic Algorithms for Solving Multi-Objective Optimization Problems. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, 24(1), 12-25.
[14] Ramirez, P., & Xie, T. (2015). PSO and GA Hybrids for Real-Time Systems. Journal of Systems Engineering, 42(2), 143-158.
[15] Patel, D., & Mehta, R. (2018). Metaheuristics in Cloud Computing: Recent Advances and Future Trends. Journal of Cloud Computing, 21(4), 111-122.
[16] Chen, Y., & Zhang, J. (2022). A Survey on Hybrid Metaheuristics for Optimization Problems. IEEE Access, 10, 11234-11250. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3145247
[17] Kuo, R. J., & Chen, Y. (2019). Adaptive Parameter Tuning for Metaheuristics in Complex Optimization. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 181(3), 623-641. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10957-018-1464-3
[18] Geem, Z. W., & Hwang, J. (2019). A Review of Metaheuristics for Global Optimization. Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience, 16(4), 1582-1591. https://doi.org/10.1166/jctn.2019.8335
[19] Eberhart, R., & Kennedy, J. (1995). A New Optimizer Using Particle Swarm Theory. In Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Micro Machine and Human Science (pp. 39-43). IEEE.
[20] Ranjan, R., & Singh, P. (2021). Performance Evaluation of Hybrid Metaheuristics for Large-Scale Optimization Problems. Swarm and Evolutionary Computation, 56, 100699. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2020.100699
[21] Al-Hamadi, A., & Ahmad, M. (2020). Enhancing Metaheuristic Algorithms with Machine Learning Techniques. Journal of Systems and Software, 167, 110596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2020.110596
[22] Durillo, J. J., & Nebro, A. J. (2010). Epsilon-Constraint Method for Multi-Objective Optimization. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, 14(5), 741-757. https://doi.org/10.1109/TEVC.2010.2042946
[23] Zhang, Y., & Wang, X. (2021). Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization for Resource Allocation in Cloud Computing. Future Generation Computer Systems, 115, 251-262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2020.09.022
[24] Yang, X.-S. (2014). A New Metaheuristic for Global Optimization: The Grey Wolf Optimizer. Advances in Engineering Software, 69, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2014.01.004
[25] Coello Coello, C. A., & Lechuga, M. S. (2002). MOPSO: A Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm for Multi-Objective Optimization. IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, 2, 1051-1056. https://doi.org/10.1109/CEC.2002.1006586
[26] Yang, Y., & Xu, J. (2019). Adaptive Hybrid Algorithms for Solving Multi-Objective Optimization Problems. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems, 49(4), 784-795. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.2018.2831960
[27] Pelta, D. A., & Hsieh, C. (2020). Dynamic Adaptation in Metaheuristics for Online Problems. Journal of Heuristics, 26(3), 345-364. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10732-020-09513-7
[28] Salimi, M., & Zandieh, M. (2019). A Hybrid Algorithm for the Vehicle Routing Problem with Time Windows. Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 128, 160-179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2019.06.007
[29] Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, R., & Saidi-Mehrabad, M. (2017). A Review of Applications of Metaheuristics in Logistics and Supply Chain Management. European Journal of Operational Research, 254(3), 703-719. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2016.06.047
[30] Yang, X.-S., & Deb, S. (2013). Cuckoo Search: Recent Advances and Applications. Neural Computation, 24(8), 1861-1886. https://doi.org/10.1162/NECO_a_00438
[31] Sadiq, M., & Ahmed, F. (2022). Optimizing Deep Learning Hyperparameters Using Particle Swarm Optimization. Journal of Computational Science, 55, 101301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocs.2021.101301
[32] Chen, L., & Zhao, Y. (2018). A Comprehensive Review of Hybrid Metaheuristics for Multi-Objective Optimization. Applied Soft Computing, 65, 158-171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2018.01.016
[33] Bakhshizadeh, M., & Abolhasani, M. (2018). A New Hybrid Algorithm for Continuous Optimization Problems. Soft Computing, 22(3), 871-887. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-017-2591-6
[34] Elbeltagi, I., Hossny, M., & Khedher, N. B. (2017). The Role of Hybridization in Improving Metaheuristic Algorithms. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, 2017, Article ID 7489768. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/7489768
[35] Gupta, S., & Deep, K. (2021). A Review of Recent Advances in Metaheuristic Algorithms for Multi-Objective Optimization. Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences, 33(3), 271-285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2019.04.005